



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/031,861
APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE 285/19 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

It is hereby certified that the above-mentioned application for a patent was filed by me in the name of the above-mentioned applicant, and that the same was done in the United States of America, and that I am the inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed in the above-mentioned application.

To witness the filing of the above-mentioned application, I have this day witnessed the above-mentioned application to be filed in the name of the above-mentioned applicant, and that the same was done in the United States of America, and that I am the inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed in the above-mentioned application.

Best Available Copy

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1804 33

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Halluer

(3)

(2) Ex. 21SM

(4) Mr. Halluer indicated that he had filed a telephone interview on 10/3/96 at 3:10 P.M.

Date of Interview 10/3/96

3:10 P.M.

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: P. A

Agreement was reached. was not reached. NA

Claim(s) discussed: NA

Mr. Halluer indicated that John Doe told his secretary Betty Kaminsky to fax to him (Halluer) a copy of the '850 from the

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: memorandum of

interference. I stated that the '850 would not be filed since the BPAI mails the '850 as the first the Interference is declared. Mr.

Halluer then stated that if I did not fax the '850, that he would call

Steve Kurin. I said that there was no need to threaten me, that it was my understanding that a copy would come from the BPAI. Mr. Halluer replied that (a fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable as must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

of record he was entitled to see it - I replied that he would be entitled to see it when the BPAI mailed it to him.

He inquired that John Doe had Betty

replied that when John returned, if John indicated to me that the '850 should be faxed

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

I will do so.
Suzanne E. Ziska
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800